

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/GreenPartyUS/permalink/10157217603305748/>

My comment addressing Andrea was deleted, though Paula's similarly worded comment still stands. Both should in my view. Both statements *correctly* gender Chelsea and we GC Rad Fems assert the right to remain reality as opposed to ideologically based with regard to language. We oppose compelled speech. We resist the patriarchal programming that insists that women should 'be nice' and should 'be accommodating' to others even when it costs us dearly or harms us. And it does. For example:

"The day I heard the media referring to rapists as 'she' was the day 'preferred pronouns became something I would never use again" ~ Lara Adams-Miller



Kerri L. Smith Bruss Andrea Mérida Cuéllar I know I support and respect Chelsea Manning a great deal. Why wouldn't I? He is a hero and very brave for taking the stances he did and continues to take even at unfathomable personal cost. Such integrity is rare in this world.

Like · 3d



Paula Densnow We can admire what Chelsea did and is doing and support his release, without going along with his delusion that he is female. It isn't being polite to play along with people's fantasies, it's being dishonest.

Like · 3d · Edited



My meme re: transphobia was deleted though the point it makes is a valid one:

 **Mawusi Ture** Kerri L. Smith Bruss funny how you think your carefully worded transphobia is a good thing . . .
Like · 3d  1

 **Kerri L. Smith Bruss**



 **Flumoxed**
@Flumoxed

More & more women are starting to understand that trans activism means women's rights are 'transphobic', women's biology is 'transphobic', women's privacy is 'transphobic', safeguarding children is 'transphobic', homosexuality is 'transphobic', feminism is 'transphobic'.

2018-08-28, 3:03 PM

Like · 3d   2

Paula's comment, deleted:

 **Paula Densnow** For all the people who think that rights are not like pie, Dahila and the handmaidens are making it very clear here that rights are very much like pie. And they are determined that women and children will be shut out of having pie.
Like · Reply · 3d  2

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/GreenPartyUS/permalink/10157227990415748/>.

Dahlia's post opens with:

"Colleagues,

In our quest for Justice and Reconciliation with the Georgia Green Party, we've engaged in a vigorous debate. Many times our opponents have used language which is dehumanizing, transphobic and hateful. They purposefully misgender trans people and perpetuate systems of oppression which intersect the lives of trans and gender non-conforming people.

The Green Party National Facebook Group has as part of it's stated policy:

**

Group Etiquette:

Please NO insults, name-calling, profanity, belittling, accusations, and threats. Sexism, racism, classism, ableism, homophobia, transphobia, and other oppressive behaviors are ban-worthy offenses.

As such, claims of reverse racism, misandry, etc. are not included, because GPUS understands the role of white supremacy, patriarchy, etc.

**

Greens, especially Greens in leadership positions, are looked to for how our debates and discussion should happen. Please join us in asking for the Green Party to remove blatantly transphobic statements from the discussion page and, where necessary, apply bans to the offenders."

We on the gender critical side of this debate have been on the receiving end of plenty of name-calling, belittling, threats of violence, sexism, ageism, and plenty of language directed at us that surely qualifies as 'dehumanizing' and 'hateful', yet Dahlia, as well as the page mods, appear inclined to focus primarily on the language that TRAs take issue with, while our concerns are either minimized or outright ignored.

TRAs take issue with our very presence and consider the defense of women's sex-based rights and any references to our biology to be 'phobic'. 'Transphobia' does not have an agreed upon definition, so how can it possibly be fairly policed? References to 'inclusive language' may well be in the platform but dissent in certain areas MUST be allowed. Gender critical Greens can't defend our case very well if we aren't allowed to make it.


Also, mods have mentioned that some of our commentary was removed because it was viewed as 'sarcastic', which does not violate any rule that I am aware of. However, if 'tone-policing' is something mods plan to continue to do, it needs to be a standard applied equally on both sides of this debate. Thus far it has not been.

For example: see the many comments by Jessica Gallant in the Eric Hamell thread. Much of her commentary on that thread is sarcastic as all get out yet it still stands while entire comment threads by Paula, Mary, and myself have been removed allegedly for this reason, (as I addressed to mods in a recent email and will further document with screen shots below.) Jessica is hardly the worst offender in this regard; please do make note of much of the commentary presented by Cathi Woodward, Brian Setzler, and Noah Martin to name but a few of the worst offenders in this regard.

For the record, I don't believe such commentary should be censored, unless it blatantly breaks an existing rule.

Here are some deleted comments in Dahlia's post referenced above:

These 2 from Dean, deleted:



These 2 also from Dean, deleted:



Dean Phillips Why do you hate women? I'm a 6'4" 240 pound man with a beard. Do you really want someone who looks like me following your daughters and other female loved ones into bathrooms late at night and saying "it's ok, I identify as a woman. Relax, sweetie". No. Who in their right mind would be okay with that? Why would you trust MEN, of all people, to be honest about this kind of thing? Predator and pervert men are gonna dress up as women and abuse the trust of idiots like you to prey on actual women and girls. In what fantasy universe do you live where you believe this isn't gonna happen, and happen a lot?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 3d



Dean Phillips "Trans women" are most certainly not women. Do they have ovaries? Do they produce eggs? Can they get pregnant? Can they gestate a developing fetus? Can they give birth to a baby? Do they have mammary glands? Can they produce milk and nourish an infant? Then how in the world can you say they are women without sounding like a reality-denying nutjob? For fucks sake. By your logic I could declare a chunk of gravel from my driveway a 4 carat diamond. It's LITERALLY insane.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 26m



This single comment by Laura that extends over 2 images, deleted:



Laura Dexter Lance Dean Phillips Right. Seeing the recent purging and silencing of voices in the GPUS Discussion, I am reminded, too, of the recent silencing and criminalization of voices critical of Israel .

If one can find a way to label criticism as "hate speech," then one can create policies to silence criticism. I have said nothing hateful in recent threads, but have been recipient to the kneejerk slur "TERF" that has been liberally peppered into recent threads, then gone unchallenged.

Demanding that we adhere to the ideology of gender identity and deny the existence of biological sex is much like demanding that people sign a loyalty oath to Israel. It

Demanding that we adhere to the ideology of gender identity and deny the existence of biological sex is much like demanding that people sign a loyalty oath to Israel. It is a demand that we acquiesce and submit to an ideology and to practices (e.g. the invasion of males into female-only spaces, and the surgical and medical mutilation of children) with which we disagree.

Again, if the GP is looking to become the party of T,Q+, you're well on your way, and if shutting-up voices like mine , or labeling me a hater and a bigot (which I see took only 20 minutes to arrive in this thread) achieves your goals, I won't let the door hit me on the rear on my way out .

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 4d



This comment also by Laura, deleted:



Laura Dexter Lance Over the past several years, in addition to a disturbing decline into Russophobia, there are some in the Green Party who have taken what was formerly a genuine sort of inclusivity and replaced it with a sexist, misogynist, extremist franchise of trans-rights activism whose brand of inclusivity begins and ends with the demands of T,Q+ and to the exclusion -- often accompanied with slurs and threats of violence and accusations of bigotry and hatred -- toward anyone who disagrees with the various agendas promoted, which include things like erasing (and ridiculing the existence of) biological science , invading female spaces and athletics, "empowering" women through prostitution and pornography, the chemical and surgical mutilation of children, and attacking and shutting down free speech, free thought, and first amendment rights.

What, exactly, is inclusive about slurring, silencing, and threatening people who disagree with these agendas? I can tell you that the numbers of people who are afraid to speak up, afraid of being branded as bigots, haters, and transphobes, afraid of the threats, are thinning.

The Green Party is going off the rails by embracing this extremist franchise of trans-rights that flies under the guise of inclusivity. The Green Party is driving away increasing numbers of people from who do not want to be associated with this extremist agenda that is flying under the banner of transgender rights and inclusivity . And if that' s what the majority wants, so be it.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 4d



This comment with meme by Paula, deleted:


 **Paula Densnow** Michael Hanes chanting a mantra does not make it true, although that is a tactic you men's rights activists sure like to use.
I reject your ridiculous religion and your silly mantras.


Dr. Julia Shaw • @drjuliashaw · 6d
TRANS WOMEN ARE WOMEN
TRANS WOMEN ARE WOMEN
TRANS WOMEN ARE WOMEN
TRANS WOMEN ARE WOMEN
TRANS WOMEN ARE WOMEN...

 **Jared Monroe**
@TheJaredMonroe
MY KIA IS A MERCEDES
MY KIA IS A MERCEDES
MY KIA IS A MERCEDES
MY KIA IS A MERCEDES
MY KIA IS A MERCEDES
MY KIA IS A MERCEDES

Like · Reply · 3d    5

This comment by Paula quoting an Asian friend was deleted while the one making a similar claim by an African friend in the same thread stands:

 **Paula Densnow**
Tai Yan Shan "I'm not white. Can confirm that my people just bang random body parts together until a stork delivers a baby to our doorstep. It's all very chaotic and frankly a bit unpredictable"

Haha · Reply · 3d  3

Dean's 'tone' here may be harsh, but no less harsh than that of the many TRAs who direct such language and assertions our way incessantly, yet Dean's comments were deleted while those directed at us stand:



Dean Phillips You know what is MOST annoying about your bullshit? Constantly accusing us of hatred. We respect, love, and want to PROTECT vulnerable people, yet bullies like you lie to our faces and accuse us of your own motives — your own motive is that YOU hate women and children and welcome them being raped and assaulted and mutilated. STFU

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 3d



Dean Phillips No one hates like the men-who-hate-women trans folks hate.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 34m



Dean Phillips You're delusional. We don't hate anybody except bullies and fascists. Men who claim to be biological women are fine as long as they don't become bullies or fascists. I mean, who gives a shit. But for men to claim their rights as imitation-women supercede those of biological women, and when they get aggressive, bullying, and threatening violence over it, they can go to hell. Why someone like yourself would support such creepy male behavior is a mystery. You might want to do some introspection.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 4m

Paula's response to Laura's comment, deleted:



Laura Dexter Lance Regardless of the inherent bias to any source -- and whether that source is Transgender Trend or WPATH https://www.wpath.org/.../SOC%20v7/SOC%20V7_English.pdf -- the same facts emerge. Specifically, that the understanding of the short and long-term health effects puberty blockers and other hormone treatments on the human body is still in its infancy.

Even by the WPATH's own acknowledgement in their standards of care manual, there are known effects from these drugs to the cardiovascular system, brain, liver, gallbladder, pancreas, pituitary, bones, blood lipids, and gynecological system, with a mix of known and suspected risks for cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, diabetes, pituitary tumors, blood cancer, mania, psychotic episodes, gynecological cancers, abnormal pap smears, sterility, sexual dysfunction, and more. Among the unknowns -- and this is given only brief mention -- are the questions over which effects are irreversible, reversible, or only partially reversible.

This goes back to the reality that the understanding of the short and long-term effects of puberty blockers and other hormone treatment is still in its infancy. We should demand better standards of care for our children. Otherwise, the ethics become indistinguishable from the ethics used to justify lab testing on beagles and bunnies.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 2d · Edited



Paula Densnow [Laura Dexter Lance](#) I don't believe in testing on beagles and bunnies either. And I refused to amputate my son's foreskin at birth, so I am appalled at parents who support castrating their sons.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 2d



Here I was responding to Robin's claim that she "has heard Green Party members come out and say that trans-women are men faking to be a woman" and Dean posted a link to an article that supports the statements I made, yet his response was deleted:



Kerri L. Smith Bruss At age 17 Michael Williams raped, tortured, brutalized with a hammer, and set on fire while still alive a 13 y/o girl named Nina Courtepatte. He was sentenced to life. Now 32, Williams recently declared himself a woman and is currently awaiting transfer to a female prison facility. It is practically guaranteed that he will victimize more women in such a setting. Does that really make sense to you, **Robin Laurain**? Is it really that large a leap to consider that some predatory males will go to great lengths for easier access to victims? This is the reality that self-ID allows.

Like · Reply · 13h



Dean Phillips Link for that. <https://www.lifesitenews.com/.../child-rapist-murderer...>



LIFESITENEWS.COM

Child rapist 'having the time of his life' preparing for women's...

Angry · Reply · 10h



Paula was making a cogent point in response to some questions posed by Michael, yet her comment was deleted:



Michal Mudd I have a question that I don't believe I've really seen addressed so out of ignorance I'm going to ask it. I understand that people are upset about biological men who transition to women but still have that male strength and assertiveness and end up competing in athletic contests and for jobs , offices, positions, etc., often gaining them and actually representing women, but how about trans men? Biological women who feel they are male- how do they affect society? I suspect it's much differently. Would a person actually need a penis to feel like a man, to represent the essence of a man, and vice versa I guess? Could trans men take male jobs away from non trans men ?

Like · Reply · 2h



Paula Densnow No, actually, fun fact. In UK, where the madness has gone much farther, and men in women's prisons have attacked women and women have been fired and arrested for saying that men are not women, Parliament has decreed that primogeniture remains intact, and women may NOT identify their way into titles.

Like · Reply · 3h



Romi Elnagar **Paula Densnow** So, when class rights are affected, British law isn't going to recognize trans rights. Why am I not surprised?

Like · Reply · 7m



In this comment thread David was seeking input from both sides of this debate with regard to how they defined certain terms; in this case it was the term 'woman'. I answered thus, and my comment which is the dictionary definition of woman was deleted, as apparently same is now considered offensive:



David M. Keil Kerri L. Smith Bruss So you are defining 'woman' as a word describing sex.

Like · Reply · 8h



Kerri L. Smith Bruss David M. Keil Yes. Woman = Adult Human Female.

Like · Reply · 8h

Moving on to Eric Hamell's post on Mar 12 which is still active, btw.


<https://www.facebook.com/groups/GreenPartyUS/permalink/10157254622210748/>.




Mods were particularly aggressive in deleting comments on this post. Multiple single comments were removed as well as FIVE ENTIRE comment threads with multiple responses, some as many as 22, which is what happens when an initial comment on a post is deleted: the entire comment thread that follows is also deleted, much of it informative content.

I am also beginning to think this may be a tactic those Greens on the LC side of this debate are using to get mass quantities of content deleted - by flagging those comments that open a new thread. It seems prudent that mods keep this in mind when considering whether removing flagged content is truly warranted.


Documentation of the FIVE ENTIRE comment threads that were removed will now commence.

The first was a thread initiated by Paula that had 12 additional comments visible to me at the time it was deleted:

 **Paula Densnow** The Lavender Caucus is opposed to the Georgia Greens signing on to a reaffirmation of previous UN resolutions on the rights of women and children. That says a lot about them.

Like · Reply · 1d    7

^ Hide 12 Replies




 **Hugh Esco** And to get specific about that, the reaffirmation primarily of two documents already endorsed by the Platform of the Green Party of the United States, specifically, (1) the Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), and (2) the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC).


Indeed, to oppose the rights of women and children, that does say quite a bit about all the drama kicked up in reaction to our state party's routine maintenance of its platform, and even more about the folks kicking up the drama.


My quick back of the envelope calculation puts that at 50.8% women + (22% children * 49.2% of them male) = 61.6% of the total US population, that the reactionaries in the Lavender Caucus staging this drama for their own entertainment would have us deny rights to, so that a fraction of one percent of men can compel us to affirm their perception of themselves as women, material reality be damned.

To paraphrase slightly how it is J.K. Rowling put it:


















Dress however you please.
Call yourself whatever you like.
Sleep with any consenting adult who'll have you.
Live your best life in peace and security.
But attack others for stating that sex is real?
[#IStandWithWomen](#) [#ThisIsNotADrill](#)

Love · Reply · 1d    5

 **Paula Densnow** And look how they responded to JK Rowling's perfectly innocuous statement!

 2

The thread continues:

-  **Paula Densnow** And look how they responded to JK Rowling's perfectly innocuous statement!
Like · Reply · 22h  2
-  **Paula Densnow** Drama, book burnings, threats and more!
Like · Reply · 22h  3
-  **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** Hugh Esco You might want to direct Noah Martin to that commentary as he seems to be a bit confused on the topic.
Like · Reply · 22h  3
-  **Nikolas Hans** Who cares what that Antisemite JK Rowling has to say about literally anything
Like · Reply · 17h  2
-  **Noah Martin** "Won't someone think of the billionaires' Twitter feeds?!?"-Paula
Like · Reply · 6h  1
-  **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** Noah Martin Oh how I love the smell of hypocrisy in the afternoon. The gender ideology movement is actually being funded by billionaire capitalists. Pritzker and Stryker to name just a couple. Rowling just 'tweets' her support. 🙄
Like · Reply · 2h  1
-  **Paula Densnow** That's how they are, Kerri. They can't see the hypocrisy in almost every claim they make.
Like · Reply · 2h  1
-  **Noah Martin** Kerri L. Smith Lol, we could go back and forth listing billionaires who support one side or the other. You'd run out of names first, and unlike you I'm not defending any of them.
Like · Reply · 1h
-  **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** Noah Martin name ONE billionaire that is contributing \$\$ in defense of feminists. Just one. I'll wait.
Like · Reply · 52m
-  **Noah Martin** Feminists? No, you are more aligned with



Noah Martin Feminists? No, you are more aligned with reactionaries like Philip Anschutz and Mohamed Bin Issa al-Jaber. Your premise that this issue cuts cleanly along class lines is absurd, and you should be embarrassed that you are buying into the far-right conspiracy theory that the existence of trans people is a liberal capitalist plot. Do you also believe in Pizzagate and QAnon?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1m · Edited



Kerri L. Smith Bruss **Noah Martin** I make no such claims though it is clear to me that this is a ruling class capitalist agenda and extremely well-funded by same. My point is that there are ZERO billionaires funding the gender critical feminist side of the debate and unless you can demonstrate otherwise I suggest you concede this point and stop with the ridiculous ad hom distractions.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1m

demonstrate otherwise I suggest you concede this point and stop with the ridiculous ad hom distractions.



[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



Mary Rose **Noah Martin** I don't know who those are. But Gilead donated \$4.5 million to transgender groups.



[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 2m



Mary Rose I haven't seen dime one!

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 2m



Mary Rose I haven't seen dime one!

Like · Reply · 2h



Mary Rose "Philip Anschutz and Mohamed Bin Issa al-Jaber."? Those are men. I am not involved in any male agenda.

Like · Reply · 2h



Mary Rose "Philip Anschutz and Mohamed Bin Issa al-Jaber."? Those are men. I am not involved in any male agenda.

Like · Reply · 13h



Noah Martin They are both extremely famous billionaires who have donated millions to the anti-trans, anti-woman causes that y'all are espousing. Google them.

Like · Reply · 1h · Edited



Mary Rose **Noah Martin** Well if I don't know about them, and haven't received a dime for any of my activism, why would I google them? Who have they given money to?

Like · Reply · 13m



Mary Rose If they're so famous, why haven't I ever heard of them? 🙄

Like · Reply · 12m



Kerri L. Smith Bruss Whoever they are they are not giving any \$\$ to gender critical feminists in support of their advocacy in defense of women's sex-based rights. Guaranteed.


Like · Reply · 9m




Kerri L. Smith Bruss **Mary Rose** How much do you want to bet he got his 'information' from Penis News?


Like · Reply · 4m





This screen shot ends Paula's thread:



A screenshot of a Facebook comment thread. The first comment is from Kerri L. Smith Bruss, replying to Mary Rose, asking how much she wants to bet he got his 'information' from Penis News? It has 2 laughing face reactions. The second comment is from Mary Rose, replying to Kerri L. Smith Bruss, saying she's guaranteed and that the TAs are irrationally conflating radical feminists with rightwing Christian fundamentalists. It has 1 like reaction. Below the comments is a text input field with the placeholder 'Write a reply...' and icons for emojis, photos, GIFs, and stickers. The third comment is from Kerri L. Smith Bruss, replying to Mary Rose, saying she has to laugh at the hyperbole being spewed on the thread.

Kerri L. Smith Bruss Mary Rose How much do you want to bet he got his 'information' from Penis News?
Like · Reply · 12h  2

Mary Rose Kerri L. Smith Bruss Guaranteed! I bet those are rightwing Christian funamentalists, and once again, the TAs are irrationally trying to conflate radical feminists with them . Silly.
Like · Reply · 3h  1

Write a reply...    

Kerri L. Smith Bruss Have to laugh at the hyperbole being spewed by some on this thread. The Declaration on Women's

This comment opens my thread that was deleted in it's entirety:



A screenshot of a Facebook comment thread. The first comment is from Kerri L. Smith Bruss, replying to David M. Keil, saying that defending the sex-based rights of women is the equivalent of genocide and that she finds him ridiculous. It has 3 reactions (thumbs up, laughing face, and sad face). The second comment is from David M. Keil, replying to Kerri L. Smith Bruss, with a link to the Georgia Green Party website. Below the link is a profile picture of the Georgia Green Party, which features a sunflower and the word 'GEORGIA'. To the right of the profile picture is the text 'GEORGIAGREENPARTY.ORG Georgia Green Party' and an information icon.

Kerri L. Smith Bruss Yes, defending the sex-based rights of women is the equivalent of genocide. Do you even hear how ridiculous you sound?
Like · Reply · 7h    3

David M. Keil <http://georgiagreenparty.org/>

 **GEORGIAGREENPARTY.ORG**
Georgia Green Party 

And THIS is the Mike Gamms comment I was responding to which has since been removed. Note that Gamms makes the assertion that women defending their sex-based rights is a “violent manipulative genocidal coup”

These are the sorts of hyperbolic claims trans activists make all the time and we HAVE EVERY RIGHT TO REFUTE such claims, and yes, mock them for the ridiculous nonsense that they are:



Mike Gamms for NY I think it's important to understand that this is a coup. This pack of transphobic bigots in GA gp are being led by Hugh Esco, who previously and publicly resigned from the Georgia Green Party / Dekalb County in disgrace back in 2004 and has weaseled his way back into power to push his bigotry. Unfortunately this hate monger was allowed back into the Green Party, and has assembled a hate mob of bigots in the georgia green party, with the support of other bigots around the world, to target and harass anyone who defends trans peoples right to exist. After resigning in disgrace from the party in 2004, Hugh not only found his way back into the party, but has used his years technical digital experience as a dev-ops engineer for one of the largest (and arguably and reportedly corrupt) pay roll corporations in the US, to not only rise to power, but to make it so he can't be removed or challenged, as his company campaignfoundations dot com was written into the GPUS by laws (Section 2. Organs for Committee Operations) in 2009. Hugh has rooted himself so deep in the green party, it's organization and structure, and it's cash flow, that he and the Georgia Green party felt confident they could advocate for the genocide of trans people through the green party unopposed. Hugh, and the Georgia Green Party have another thing coming. We are here, we are queer, and we aren't going to stand for this violent, manipulative genocidal coup. Transphobia has no place in the Green Party or anywhere.

Haha · Reply · 2d



The thread continues:



Georgia Green Party

Like · Reply · 7h  1

 **Mike Gamms for NY** this is exactly wjhat the KKK say except swap "sex based" for "white." You are using the same exact language as the KKK. You are a bigot and your entire existence is about attacking trans peoples right to exist, so much so it's your profile picture.

Like · Reply · 7h  1

 **Mike Gamms for NY** "defending the rights of white people is now genocide huh?" says the KKK. "defending the rights of women is now genocide huh?" says the transphobic fart.

Like · Reply · 7h

 **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** Right to exist? Seems more than a bit hysterical. The argument is over women's abilities to draw boundaries, not existential arguments about 'existence'.

Ironically, if people redefine 'woman' as 'someone who says they are a woman', then they are redefining the biological category of 'woman' out of existence.

Women are adult human females, not products of imagination or declarations.

Many of us don't share the same beliefs as Christians nor do we 'validate' their beliefs. That doesn't mean they cease to exist as a result.

So stop making that utterly pathetic argument that the words women use or the thoughts we think are somehow responsible for threatening the 'existence' of trans identified people.

Like · Reply · 7h · Edited   2

 **Paula Densnow** Don't you remember the Civil Rights Movement, though, Kerri? That's when black people demanded that everyone see them as white people, or be attacked and demonized.

That is when black people said that if other people didn't call them "white people", they would literally disappear and be genocided.

That's when black people got the entire Establishment behind them to insist that everyone call them white people.

My thread concludes and the last comment opens Paula's now deleted thread:

That's when black people got the entire Establishment behind them to insist that everyone call them white people.
Everyone except the K KK, of course.
They were bigots.

Like · Reply · 4h



Romi Elnagar Mike Gamms for NY Why is taking away the ability of a child to be a father or a mother in the name of changing their "gender identity" not genocide?

When you operate or give hormones to a boy or a girl to change their sex organs, and thereby sterilize them in effect, you are essentially committing GENOCIDE, as defined by Article IId. of the 1948 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Genocide.

"Article II.

In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

...

(d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;"

In fact, it is clear from this definition that it is NOT women who are concerned about what transitioning is doing to children but the TRANS ACTIVISTS WHO ARE COMMITTING GENOCIDE.

Like · Reply · 4h · Edited




Write a reply...





Paula Densnow Women who speak out about their rights literally make trans people disappear! Their very existence is gone! It's genocide, I tell you, genocide!
But somehow, they can keep posting.


Like · Reply · 7h





 **Paula Densnow** Women who speak out about their rights literally make trans people disappear! Their very existence is gone! It's genocide, I tell you, genocide! But somehow, they can keep posting.


Like · Reply · 7h   3


^ Hide 14 Replies


 **Paula Densnow** Omigod, are you still here?


Haha · Reply · 6h  3

 **Paula Densnow** I thought you got genocided by women speaking words.

Haha · Reply · 6h  3

 **Mike Gamms for NY** And Cathi is not alone. We are here to stand up for the values of the party and humanity, and some of us aren't co-chair's and can be a hell of alot meaner than Cathi can. We will rid the green party of bigotry and transphobia. these transphobic bigots are on the wrong side of history and will be regarded as such.

Like · Reply · 6h  2

 **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** Personally, I think those advocating the sterilization of children far too young to understand the ramifications of what they are being allowed to consent to will not be remembered kindly.


Like · Reply · 5h    4

 **Kerri L. Smith Bruss**

 **Dan Fisher**
@Fisher_Download

Where were you when rapists were being put into women's prisons, men were winning women's sports, children were having their young bones destroyed, young men and women were being surgically mutilated, feminists were being persecuted and hounded out of public spaces?

Like · Reply · 5h  4

 **Paula Densnow** Oh, oh, I know! They were in the Green

Paula Densnow Oh, oh, I know! They were in the Green Party, calling endangering women and permanently disabling children a civil rights issue and screaming down everyone who disagrees!

Like · Reply · 4h · Edited



Mary Rose I guess 'transphobe' got too overused, so they have to step it up. So 'genocider' it is I suppose. I wonder how many people would be stupid enough to believe that disagreeing with someone = genocide? Possibly only the TA and their acolytes (Aunt Lydias).

Like · Reply · 4h



Paula Densnow Women's rights are not affected by trans rights, but women speaking about women's rights are a direct assault on trans rights. And also, genocide.

Haha · Reply · 4h



Paula Densnow Because you can say any damn stupid thing you want, in TA world, and you'll get the handmaidens applauding you as if you were two years old, and used the potty. Which seems to be as far as many as the TAs have progressed.

Like · Reply · 4h



Mary Rose **Paula Densnow** Very true. The appalling behavior by these emotionally stunted tantrum-throwers is off the charts.

Like · Reply · 4h



Mary Rose Cathi Woodward 🤔

Like · Reply · 3h

Mary Rose ^^ the only rational response to Cathi.

Like · Reply · 3h



Mary Rose Oh wait - don't tell me laugh emojis are genocidal too?


Haha · Reply · 3h · Edited











Noah Martin Yikes...



Like · Reply · 57m



This comment opens Mary's thread:


 **Noah Martin** Yikes...
Like · Reply · 3h


 Write a reply...    


 **Mary Rose** Use this handy dandy Trans agenda translator:
Disagreement = hate speech
Asking questions = abuse
Stating facts = genocide
Having an opinion that differs = literal fascism
Like · Reply · 5h · Edited   2


 **Bill Bartlett** **Mary Rose** you've cracked the code. No wonder I've been attacked so much. I ask questions when I'm trying to decide whether or not to stress about something, I restate facts that I read or are presented to me, and I always forge my own opinions. And perhaps most dangerous of all, I encourage everyone else to do all of these things. Yikes!
Like · Reply · 27m  2



 **Mary Rose** **Bill Bartlett** How DARE you? That's literal hate right there! 😏
Haha · Reply · 12m  1


 **Bill Bartlett**



Haha · Reply · 2m  1

 **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** Welcome to the club, **Bill Bartlett!** 😏
Like · Reply · 1m

Kerri L. Smith Bruss Welcome to the club, Bill Bartlett! 🙄
Like · Reply · 12h   3

Bill Bartlett Kerri L. Smith Bruss it's a bigger club than we realize. So many people involved in social justice issues are too sensitive to engage if they know they will be attacked and slandered by SJWs.
Like · Reply · 11h  3


Kerri L. Smith Bruss Bill Bartlett I agree. The intimidation is fierce.
Like · Reply · 11h  3

Bill Bartlett I retired from green politics due to the influx of SJWs and identity politics to the greens. Castoff extremists that couldn't find a home in the DNC have taken advantage of the peaceful beacon that once was the Green Party.. And have begun rotting the core of the party.
Like · Reply · 11h · Edited  4

Dahlia Blackthorn

















Like · Reply · 10h

Mary Rose Bill Bartlett You nailed it. I joined the Greens in 2006, and it was nothing like today, which is like some sub-branch of the Dem party with the influx of SJWs.
Like · Reply · 4h  3

Paula Densnow Indeed, it's become obsessed with Democrat SJW agendas and has completely dropped the environmental and economic agendas we used to focus on.

Mary's thread concludes here:

-  **Mary Rose** [Paula Densnow](#) Indeed, it's all about them and their needs and their emotions and their orientation and their identity, not about the greater good.
Like · Reply · 2h  2
-  **Paula Densnow** But just like any Crusaders, they frame their attacks in the name of Love and Justice. What was it that Voltaire said? It's easy to get evil people to do evil, but to get good people to do evil, you have to frame it as good.
Like · Reply · 2h   2
-  **Mary Rose** [Paula Densnow](#) Yes the framing is key.
Like · Reply · 2h  1
-  **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** What did Voltaire mean when he said that "those who can make you believe absurdities, can make you commit atrocities"?
<https://www.quora.com/What-did-Voltaire-mean-when-he-said...>
-  QUORA.COM
What did Voltaire mean when he said that "those who can make...
Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 9m  1 
-  **Mary Rose** [Kerri L. Smith Bruss](#) That's a great one.
Like · Reply · 4m  1
-  **Kerri L. Smith Bruss** [Mary Rose](#) Thanks. Illuminating and most applicable, I thought.
Like · Reply · 1m

This comment opens Paula's thread that had at minimum 22 comments to follow. Only 12 were visible to me and I assume some people commenting have me blocked or vice versa:



Paula Densnow It baffles me that people think that having the entire Establishment, including the UN and the US military, the government, the police, the courts, the prisons, the schools, the universities, etc., backing their particular fetish somehow justifies it, in their minds. They believe that having the entire oppressive apparatus of the State pushing their agenda means it must be Right and Good. Why on Earth would Greens believe such a thing?

Like · Reply · 8h



Scott Free because of love

Haha · Reply · 8h



Paula Densnow LOL, yeah, that's why the military is backing it.

Like · Reply · 8h



Paula Densnow I'd have to go a long way to catch up to you, though.

Like · Reply · 8h




Paula Densnow So, signing onto the rights of women and children is "not in keeping with current times" in the GPUS. Well, that is pretty obvious.



Sad · Reply · 8h





Paula's thread concludes here...




Well, that is pretty obvious.


Sad · Reply · 8h   2


 **Paula Densnow** The GPUS has got to keep up with the military, you see. Cause....."values"






Like · Reply · 8h  2


 **Scott Free** [Paula Densnow](#) you didn't ask anything about the military - you asked why the Greens should believe such a thing? My answer was because of love. it's not an LOL - i'm serious.

Like · Reply · 8h · Edited

 **Paula Densnow** [Scott Free](#) I guess you didn't read my comment. That would be why you missed my reference.

Like · Reply · 8h  2

 Write a reply...    

 **Kerri L. Smith Bruss**

If you believe women discussing their rights goes against trans rights, then you have no choice but to accept trans rights are an infringement on women's rights.

... though for no good reason that I can think of, here meme which says simply "THIS" pointing to the meme I posted just above it was deleted by mods. I'd really like to know why as it is inexplicable to me:



Kerri L. Smith Bruss

If you believe women discussing their rights goes against trans rights, then you have no choice but to accept trans rights are an infringement on women's rights.

Otherwise, why are people so terrified of women talking about their rights.

Like · Reply · 8h



Paula Densnow



As you can see, Mary posted a similar meme as well, yet that one was allowed to stand. Makes no sense.

TENOR GIF

Like · Reply · 8h 1

Paula Densnow It works the same with children's rights.
Like · Reply · 7h 2

Mary Rose

TENOR GIF

Like · Reply · 7h 1

Write a reply...

David M. Keil The debate on this thread is whether or not to split the Green Party over differences of opinion about the definitions of words like "women". It appears that more Greens have expressed opposition to splitting the party (by expelling the Georgia Green Party) than support for a split. To express your support for democracy and unity, sign the statement at <https://docs.google.com/.../1FAIpQLSea1qqDNMaGp9.../viewform>

Greens for Dialogue Not Expulsion
Dialogue Not Expulsion of the Georgia Green Party

DOCS.GOOGLE.COM
Dialogue Not Expulsion of the Georgia Green Party


Like · Reply · 8h 4

Please note two things in this SS. 1) That as abusive and threatening Gamms had been on these posts, Dahlia is “glad we have passionate allies who use a variety of methods to achieve justice”. And 2) how very upset Romi is by what she views as an “abuse of administrative authority”. I would agree.

 **Dahlia Blackthorn** Mike Gamms for NY i practice satyaghara in my political efforts however i recognize that sort of practice isn't for everyone and so i'm glad that we have passionate allies who use a variety of methods to achieve justice.

their time is coming to an end
cause we're gonna unify and
watch our flag ascend.

Like · 5h · Edited  3


 **Romi Elnagar** I have worked for this Party for fifteen years. After Hurricane Katrina decimated our electorate in NOLA, I kept the records for the GPL and even continued actions as "Acting Secretary" until Sean Clark was elected as a fully functional Party Secretary in the post-Katrina State Party Convention.

I am currently Co-chair of the State Party and also Co-Chair of the International Committee.

Why were my comments citing the UN Convention on Genocide deleted?


This is a clear case of misogyny and bigotry against natal women. My post IN NO WAY attacked trans women, but there is a clear conflict of rights.

The deletion of my comment was UN-GREEN, it was BIGOTRY, and an ABUSE OF ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY.

Like · Reply · 1h · Edited  1

 **Mike Gamms for NY** I don't care if someone spent their entire life in the Green Party, if you spent any of that time being a transphobic bigot, defending transphobic bigots, or providing cover for transphobic bigots you will go down with the ship full of transphobic bigots. Some people I have blocked and can't see their posts but seems like someone people are unhappy that their transphobic bigotry is met with aggressive resistance. If you don't like it, THERE IS THE DOOR. Leave the group and party for good and no one will miss you. Leave on your own or be rooted out and dragged across the coals and forever remembered for being on the wrong side of history. Choice is yours.

Like · Reply · 1h

 **Romi Elnagar** IWhat's really crazy is how trivial this entire kerfuffle is.

Last but not least I would like to address both the deleted comments on the following post, and also those that remain, as many should have been removed after at least 5 people that I am aware of have made the mods aware of their threatening and abusive content. One of those people was me in the email I sent the three of you on Mar 14. I ask again for you to review the linked thread and do what needs to be done.

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/GreenPartyUS/permalink/10157260138590748/>.

The first deleted comment in that thread was Paula's:



Dahlia Blackthorn



Like · Reply · 10h



Paula Densnow If women speaking about women invalidates trans' existence and make them disappear, why are you still here?

Like · Reply · 9h



The next deleted comment with meme was mine in response to Risi. I don't consider trans identified males as anything other than what they are which is biologically male, whatever 'gender' they identify as or with. Saying so may hurt some feelings but it's the truth and denying the reality of biological SEX harms women and girls.



Like · Reply · 5h



Risi LaPuette Kerri L. Smith Bruss well, transwomen are women...so that's an oxymoron . What you're doing is trying to oppress other women.

Like · Reply · 53m



Kerri L. Smith Bruss Risi LaPuette I don't believe in your religion or your mantras. I remain reality based. Humans can't change sex.



therestofus
@therestofus5

If you can identify into a demographic that has been mistreated throughout history by your own, then demand that that demographic validate you, accommodate you and include you, AND have everyone bending over backwards to show they support & believe you...
You are not oppressed.

3:08 am · 12 Sep. 19 · Twitter Web App

Like · Reply · 44m

Two of Mary's comments were deleted from that thread:



Mary Rose Risi LaPquette No you don't know who he is. You just ran off and googled him. You asked me if Mann was somehow a slur - I can't even, laughing so hard!

Like · Reply · 11h



Mary Rose The only thing you've aced was the speed at which you buy into trans religious nuttury. **Congrats!** Have a lovely evening. 😊

Like · Reply · 11h



Paula Densnow

"A man taking estrogen and then announcing he is biologically a woman"

That's it for now but I expect to be writing to you again soon. This issue isn't going away and it's important that ALL voices are heard in the debates to come.

I would very much appreciate it if the majority of the above commentary be restored.